



International Journal of Law, Justice and Jurisprudence

E-ISSN: 2790-068
P-ISSN: 2790-0673
IJLJJ 2024; 4(1): 12-13
Received: 25-10-2023
Accepted: 30-11-2023

Boburxon Ziyamov
Ph.D. Researcher, Tashkent
State University of Law,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Ethical dilemmas in digital diplomacy: Navigating the challenges of technology in international relations

Boburxon Ziyamov

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22271/2790-0673.2024.v4.i1a.88>

Abstract

This article examines the myriad ethical dilemmas arising from the integration of digital technologies into diplomatic relations and practices. It argues that while digital tools provide many benefits, they also pose risks related to privacy, misinformation, hacking, and espionage that challenge conventional diplomatic norms and values. Through a literature review and case studies, the article explores these ethical tensions in depth across issues of transparency, accountability, confidentiality, and security. It proposes the application of ethical frameworks like deontology and utilitarianism to develop guidelines and adaptations necessary to preserve the moral integrity of diplomacy in the digital age. The article concludes by advocating multifaceted policy solutions to uphold ethics while harnessing the positive potential of technology.

Keywords: Digital diplomacy, ethics, international relations, cybersecurity, privacy, propaganda

Introduction

Diplomacy has always involved balancing ideals, ethics, interests, and power [9]. However, the integration of digital technologies into all aspects of diplomatic communication, negotiation, and relations has intensified ethical dilemmas [3]. From social media to hacking, digital tools provide opportunities alongside risks that challenge diplomacy's commitment to norms of confidentiality, accountability, and multilateralism.

This article critically examines the array of ethical challenges posed by digital technologies to international diplomatic relations and practices. It aims to illuminate the multifaceted dilemmas emerging from the digital transformation of diplomacy through conceptual analysis and real-world cases.

This article focuses specifically on ethical tensions arising in digital diplomacy between 2009-2022, spanning both public diplomacy via social media as well as cybersecurity issues impacting confidential diplomacy. It concentrates on implications for state actors but references relevant non-state examples.

Methodology and Literature Review

This article employs interpretive methodology, synthesizing insights from existing diplomacy literature with ethics scholarship through a conceptual analysis. It also analyzes case studies of digital diplomacy incidents across these domains to derive reflective assessments of associated ethical dilemmas.

An emerging interdisciplinary literature explores digital diplomacy focused on social media [10, 4], big data [5], ethics [6], and cybersecurity [7]. However, scholarship specifically examining ethical dilemmas at the intersection of diplomacy and technology remains limited. This article aims to help fill this gap by proposing an integrative ethical analysis of digital technologies across public, secretive, and cyber diplomacy. It engages with diplomacy and international relations literature through the lens of applied ethics.

The Digital Transformation of Diplomacy

Digital technologies have profoundly impacted diplomacy, enabling instant communication, virtual negotiations, public engagement, data analytics, and cyber operations. Social media has made diplomatic messaging more direct and transparent, while hacking capabilities have increased cyberespionage risks [8].

Prominent digital technologies used in contemporary diplomacy include social media

Correspondence Author:
Boburxon Ziyamov
Ph.D. Researcher, Tashkent
State University of Law,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

platforms like Twitter and Facebook for public diplomacy, encrypted communication apps like Telegram and Signal for secretive diplomacy, advanced data mining of public information, and various forms of offensive and defensive cyber operations.

Ethical Challenges in Digital Diplomacy

Privacy, discretion, and confidentiality have long been essential to diplomacy [2]. However, digital engagement makes diplomatic actions more visible, and hacking facilitates breaches of sensitive discussions and documents. This challenges norms of diplomatic confidentiality.

Social media enables widespread dissemination of misinformation by state and non-state actors, undermining truth-seeking in diplomacy [1]. Digital tools also facilitate covert influence operations and harder-to-debunk “deep fakes.”

Hacking campaigns by state actors compromise diplomatic cybersecurity, enabling digital espionage and leaks of sensitive cables that could endanger international stability [7].

Recent cases highlighting ethical dilemmas in digital diplomacy include

- **2010 WikiLeaks cable dump:** Confidentiality breach sparking debate on transparency vs. secrecy.
- **2016 Russian DNC hack:** Cyber espionage raising electoral interference concerns.
- **2020 Twitter hack and Bitcoin scam:** Compromised verified accounts spreading misinformation.
- **2021 Pegasus spyware scandal:** Enablement of state surveillance of diplomats’ devices.

These cases reveal complex tensions between the benefits and dangers of digital tools in diplomacy. They underscore the need for enhanced cybersecurity and transparency norms to preserve ethics and build digital trust.

Various ethical theories can analyze digital diplomacy, including

- **Deontology:** Evaluating moral duties like confidentiality & honesty.
- **Consequentialism:** Assessing outcomes like social media’s reach.
- **Virtue ethics:** Considering character strengths like wisdom.

Integrating these frameworks can help develop guidelines promoting ethics in areas like social media decorum, cyber operations, transparency, and accountability. Ongoing adaptation of digital governance is required.

For Diplomats and International Bodies

- Cultivate organizational cultures valuing digital ethics and literacy.
- Institute standardized cybersecurity protocols and employment screening.
- Enhance encryption, anonymization, two-factor authentication.
- Develop clear social media policies balancing security, transparency, and free speech.

Further examining ethics can guide digital diplomacy to uphold longstanding values of trust and cooperation amidst

technological change. Ethical adaptation embracing transparency and accountability will prove critical for diplomacy’s moral foundations to endure in the digital age.

Conclusion

In conclusion, this article has demonstrated that while offering many benefits, digital technologies pose profound ethical dilemmas for contemporary diplomacy. Persistent tensions surround reconciling transparency with confidentiality, promoting truth while combating misinformation, and fostering cooperation in an era of hacking and cyber espionage. By applying ethical frameworks and updating policies, protocols, and training, the principles underlying diplomatic ethics can remain resilient, while harnessing digital tools to advance dialogue, de-escalation, and human progress.

References

1. Aday S, Farrell H, Lynch M, Sides J, Freelon D. Blogs and bullets II: New media and conflict after the Arab Spring. *United States Institute of Peace*. 2012;80:1-31.
2. Berridge GR. *Diplomacy: Theory and practice*. London: Palgrave Macmillan; c2015.
3. Bjola C, Holmes M, editors. *Digital diplomacy: Theory and practice*. Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon: Routledge; c2015.
4. Cassidy A, Manor I. *Digital diplomacy: The impact of the Internet on international relations*. Brussels: Directorate-General for External Policies of the Union, Directorate B, Policy Department; c2016.
5. Constantinou CM, Der Derian J, editors. *Sustaining global hope: Sovereignty, power and the transformation of diplomacy*. Malta: Diplo Foundation; c2010.
6. Dutton WH. Can ethics survive in a digital diplomacy age? In: *Conference on Truth, Trust and Transparency*. Valletta, Malta: Diplo Foundation, 2017, 4.
7. Farwell JP, Rohozinski R. Stuxnet and the future of cyber war. *Survival*. 2011;53(1):23-40.
8. Hanson F. Baked in and wired: eDiplomacy @ State. *Brookings Institution Future Development Blog*; c2012 Oct 25. Available from: <https://www.brookings.edu/blog/up-front/2012/10/25/baked-in-and-wired-ediplomacystate/>.
9. Jönsson C, Hall M. *Essence of diplomacy*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan; c2005.
10. Zhong X, Lu J. Public diplomacy meets social media: A study of the US Embassy’s blogs and micro-blogs. *Public relations review*. 2013;39(5):542-548.